

Subject: AU

From: dirresearch@gmail.com

To: absuriani@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, September 25, 2017, 5:24:40 PM GMT+8

Dear Dr. Bakar,

Sub: Anna University – Synopsis of Ph.D. Thesis – Acceptance to evaluate the Thesis –
Requested – Reg.

I am happy to inform that you have been nominated as an Examiner to evaluate the Ph.D. Thesis of the scholar, Mr./Ms. **Jeyagowri, B.**

Ref.No. 71010122018/PhD/AR5

The synopsis of the above mentioned scholar is enclosed herewith. I request you to accept the offer of Examinership and send your acceptance within **15 days either by Fax or e-mail in order to hasten the process.**

The thesis will be sent to you as soon as your acceptance is received. You are requested to evaluate the thesis **within two months time**. Please quote the **Reference No.** in all future correspondence.

I am pleased to inform that the honorarium for Indian Examiner is Rs.3,000/- and Foreign Examiner is US \$200. Further, the Indian Examiner **only** will be invited to conduct the Public Viva-Voce Examination.

If you are willing to evaluate the thesis, kindly inform your preference in receiving thesis as hard copy or electronic-pdf-version.

Since evaluation of Ph.D. thesis is highly confidential, I request you to contact only the Director (Research) for clarifications such acceptance, evaluation report, etc.

No correspondence should be made with the supervisor or with the scholar in this regard.

Yours sincerely,

DIRECTOR (RESEARCH)

Encl: Copy of Synopsis



Dr. R. Jayavel

Director, Centre for Research


Anna University, Chennai 600 025. India.

Mobile No. 91- 9444309701

Phone : 91-44-22357355/22350361

Fax : 91-44-22201213

e-mail: dirresearch@annauniv.edu / dirresearch@gmail.com



ANNA UNIVERSITY

CENTRE FOR RESEARCH

Sardar Patel Road, Chennai - 600 025, India



Prof. R. JAYAVEL, Ph.D.

DIRECTOR

Lr.No.71010122018 /Ph.D./AR5

Dated: 27 .09.2017

Dear Dr.Bakar,

Sub: Anna University - Ph.D. Thesis of **Ms.Jeyagowri.B** - Evaluation Report
Requested – Reg.

Ref: Your acceptance letter Dated : 26.09.2017

I gratefully acknowledge your letter cited above and thanking you to evaluate the Ph.D. Thesis of **Ms.Jeyagowri.B**, entitled

“SORPTION KINETIC MODELS AND EQUILIBRIUM STUDIES FOR THE REMOVAL OF BASIC DYES FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS USING RAW AND MODIFIED MESOPOROUS *SIMAROUBA GLAUCA* SEED SHELL”

I enclose the above thesis with a request to evaluate it and forward your assessment in the prescribed Evaluation Report Form. In addition, you are also requested to give a detailed report, indicating your specific comments on the thesis and questions, if any, to be answered by the candidate at the time of Oral Examination. If the thesis requires any revision, I request you to suggest the specific nature of revision so that it will help the scholar to revise suitably.

I once again request you to send your Evaluation Report along with a detailed report at an early date (**in any case, not exceeding two months**). Kindly quote the **Reference code: 71010122018 /Ph.D./AR5** in all future correspondence. I am also enclosing the extract of Ph.D. regulations pertaining to Ph.D. thesis evaluation for favour of your information.

Since evaluation of Ph.D. thesis is highly confidential, I request you to contact only the Director (Research) for clarifications such as acceptance, evaluation report, etc. **No correspondence should be made to the supervisor or with the scholar or with any other person in this matter.**

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,

R. Jayavel
DIRECTOR

- Encl.: 1. Thesis
2. Evaluation Report Form
3. Ph.D. Guidelines
4. Remuneration Claim Form

To

Dr.Suriani Binti Abu Bakar, Professor
Department of Nanotechnology Research Centre
Faculty of Science & Mathematics
Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris
Kuala Lumpur, Perak Malaysia,
Tanjong Malim, Perak Darul Ridzuan 35900
Phone: 019 2117002 / Email: absuriani@yahoo.com

27/9/17

ANNA UNIVERSITY

Extracts from the Regulations of Doctoral Programme

For Ph.D. Thesis Examiners

PREAMBLE:

A scholar who has qualified for the award of the Master's degree is eligible for admission to Ph.D. Programme. Duration of the Doctoral Programme varies from two years to six years depending on the nature of Registration.

The progress of the scholar is monitored by a Doctoral Committee constituted with the research Supervisor as the Convener.

Research scholar also undergoes a minimum of four courses of 12 Credits relevant to the area of research. A pass in the Comprehensive Examination is required for provisional confirmation of Ph.D. registration.

For submission of Synopsis, the scholar has to publish atleast one paper in a refereed impact factor journal or one patent granted based on his/her research work and the Doctoral Committee approves the research work reported by the scholar. A panel of atleast eight examiners who are experts in the field of research of the scholar, four from India and four from abroad should be recommended by the Doctoral Committee to evaluate the thesis.

18. SUBMISSION OF THESIS

- 18.1 The Thesis shall report, in an organized and scholarly fashion, an account of original research work of the scholar leading to the discovery of new facts or techniques or correlation of facts already known (analytical, experimental, hardware oriented, etc.) and demonstrating a quality contribution to the advancement of knowledge as well as the scholar's ability to undertake sustained research.

19. THESIS EVALUATION

- 19.1 The Thesis shall be referred to two examiners (one from India and another from abroad) nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the panel of examiners recommended by the Doctoral Committee. The Vice-Chancellor if deems it necessary may also nominate the examiners from outside the panel.
- 19.4 The examiners shall send his/her evaluation report in the prescribed format within two months from the date of receipt of the Thesis.
- 19.5 The examiner shall include in his/her report an overall assessment placing the Thesis in any one of the following categories.
 - 19.5.1 Recommend the acceptance of the Thesis in the present form and further based on the standard attained, classify the work as HIGHLY COMMENDED/ COMMENDED.
 - 19.5.2 Recommend the acceptance of the Thesis. However, the scholar shall incorporate the corrections indicated in the detailed report and place the corrected copy to the Oral Examination Board but the corrected Thesis need not be sent to the examiner.
 - 19.5.3 Defer the recommendation at this stage and the scholar shall incorporate the suggested modifications in the Thesis and the corrected Thesis along with the scholar's clarifications shall be sent to the respective examiner.
 - 19.5.4 Reject the Thesis for the reasons set out in the detailed report.
 - 19.5.5 The examiner shall also enclose a detailed report in about 200 to 300 words, indicating the standard attained in the case of 19.5.1, the nature of revision in the case of 19.5.2 & 19.5.3 and specific reasons in the case of 19.5.4.
- 19.6 If both the examiners recommended for the award of the degree, Thesis shall be provisionally accepted. Any minor revision, modification, etc., suggested by the examiners shall be carried out before the Oral Examination Board.
 - 19.6.1 If any examiner recommends resubmission of the thesis after revision as per Clause 19.5.3, the scholar shall be permitted to revise and resubmit the Thesis within six months, failing which the revised thesis shall not be accepted and his/her registration shall stand cancelled.

The revised Thesis shall be referred to the same examiner for his/her final recommendation on the Thesis which shall be only either for recommendation for the award or for rejection.

19.6.2 If one examiner recommends the award of the degree while the other recommends rejection, then the Thesis shall be referred to the third examiner to be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor as in Clause 19.1. If two of the three examiners recommend the award, the Thesis shall be provisionally accepted. If two of the examiners recommend rejection, the Thesis shall be rejected and the registration of the scholar shall stand cancelled.

19.6.3 If both the examiners recommend rejection, the Thesis shall be rejected and the registration of the scholar shall stand cancelled.

19.7 In case, the examiner does not insist to send the Thesis back to him/her, the Thesis shall be referred to the Doctoral Committee to ascertain the corrections carried out in the Thesis as suggested by the examiners.

19.8 Individual cases not covered by the above Clauses shall be referred to the Vice-Chancellor. If deemed fit, the Vice-Chancellor shall refer to the Research Board which in turn shall refer to the Syndicate, for necessary action.

20. ORAL EXAMINATION

20.1 On receipt of the evaluation reports, the Doctoral Committee shall meet within three months and recommend a panel of three experts from different recognized institutions within India, for constitution of an Oral Examination Board. The Vice-Chancellor nominates one member from the panel of experts recommended by the Doctoral Committee. The Vice-Chancellor, if deems it necessary shall nominate a member from outside the panel.

20.2 The Oral Examination Board shall be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor as follows:

a. Indian Examiner of the Thesis or an expert from the panel (in the absence of the former)	Member
b. An expert from a recognized institution from the panel	Member
c. Supervisor of the scholar	Convener
d. Joint Supervisor of the scholar, if applicable	Member

- 20.3 The Oral examination shall be conducted within three months as "Open Defence Type" Examination.
- 20.5 If the Oral Examination Board reports the performance of the scholar as "not satisfactory" then he/she may opt to reappear for the Oral Examination at a later date (not later than six months from the date of the first Oral Examination). On the second occasion, the Oral Examination Board shall include one more expert nominated by the Vice-Chancellor.
- 20.6 If the performance of the scholar in the Oral Examination in the second occasion also reported to be "not satisfactory", the Vice-Chancellor, if deems it necessary, shall refer the remarks of the Oral Examination Board, along with the Thesis and comments of the Examiners, to a Committee constituted for this purpose and the decision of the Vice-Chancellor shall be final.
- 20.7 On satisfactory completion of the Viva-Voce Examination, the scholar shall submit the corrected Thesis in A5 size in accordance with the format and specification prescribed, duly certified by the Supervisor and Joint Supervisor, if applicable, that all the corrections have been incorporated in the Thesis as suggested by the examiners, along with two soft copies of the Thesis in CD, for University archives.

21. AWARD OF Ph.D. DEGREE

If the report of the Oral Examination Board is SATISFACTORY, the scholar shall be awarded Ph.D. Degree under the Faculty of Civil Engineering/ Mechanical Engineering/ Electrical Engineering/ Information and Communication Engineering/ Technology/ Architecture and Planning/ Science and Humanities/ Management Sciences, with the approval of the Syndicate. The Faculty for the award of Ph.D. Degree shall normally be based on the PG qualification of the scholar.

**ANNA UNIVERSITY
CENTRE FOR RESEARCH
CHENNAI - 600 025, INDIA**

Remuneration Claim Form

Received US \$ 200 in connection with the evaluation of Ph.D. thesis in respect of the Ph.D. research scholar, **Ms.Jeyagowri.B (Reg.No. 71010122018 /Ph.D./AR5)**

IMPORTANT: Type the following details requested in CAPITAL LETTERS (**please type the details**) to remit the remuneration of US \$ 200 directly into your Bank Account through Swift transfer

1. Name :

2. Full Postal Address :

3. Your Bank Account No. :

4. Name of the Bank and Branch :
with full address

5. Your Bank's Swift code No. :

6. Your Bank's IBAN code No. :

7. Your Bank's Branch code No. :

8. Your Bank's Branch code No. :
(for Australian Bank)

9. Your email id :

Place :

Date :

Signature of the Foreign Examiner

For Office Use Only

Examined and found to be correct

Passed for payment of US \$ 200 (US Dollars Two hundred only)